The 132 Ma Comei-Bunbury large igneous province: Remnants identified in present-day southeastern Tibet and southwestern Australia
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ABSTRACT

We report 11 new U-Pb zircon ages obtained by sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP) and laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (LA-ICP–MS) for a large province of Early Cretaceous Comei igneous rocks consisting of basaltic lavas, mafic sills and dikes, and gabbroic intrusions together with subordinate layered ultramafic intrusions and silicic volcanic rocks exposed in the Tethyan Himalaya, southeastern Tibet. Available zircon U-Pb ages obtained from various rocks in this province, which has an areal extent of ∼40,000 km² (∼270 km × 150 km), indicate that the magmatism occurred ca. 132 Ma ago, coeval with the Bunbury Basalt in southwestern Australia. Such a striking similarity in emplacement age, in combination with the tectonic reconstruction of eastern Gondwana ca. 132 Ma ago, allows us to propose that the extensive Comei igneous rocks in southeastern Tibet and the Bunbury Basalts in southwestern Australia may represent the erosional and/or deformational remnants of a large igneous province, which we call the Comei-Bunbury LIP. We argue that this newly identified LIP was likely caused by the Kerguelen mantle plume, which started in the Early Cretaceous and may have played a role in the breakup of eastern Gondwana and the development of the 132 Ma old Weissert oceanic anoxic event.

INTRODUCTION

The term large igneous province (LIP) is used to represent a variety of mafic igneous provinces with areal extents >100,000 km² (Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Bryan and Ernst, 2008) or >50,000 km² (Sheth, 2007) as the result of extensive decompressive melting in response to ascending mantle plumes and lithospheric extension (e.g., Richards et al., 1989; White and McKenzie, 1989). The generation of many plume-related LIPs has been linked to continental breakup, as exemplified by the Kerguelen mantle plume initiation that played a role in rifting the Gondwana and opening the eastern Indian Ocean (Storey, 1995). Along with the immense Kerguelen Plateau being formed within the nascent Indian Ocean basin, this plume activity may have also resulted in the Rajmahal Traps in northeastern India (Kent et al., 2002) and the Bunbury Basalt in southwestern Australia (Frey et al., 1996) during the rifting event. However, correlation of the Bunbury Basalt with the other two outputs has been often questioned because the former began erupting ca. 132 Ma ago (Frey et al., 1996; Coffin et al., 2002), whereas no rocks from both the Rajmahal Traps and Kerguelen Plateau are older than 120 Ma (cf. Ingle et al., 2004). In this paper we present 11 new zircon U-Pb ages obtained by sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe (SHRIMP) and laser ablation–inductively coupled plasma–mass spectrometry (LA-ICP–MS) for the extensive Early Cretaceous igneous rocks around the Comei area in southeastern Tibet. We argue that the contemporaneous igneous rocks dispersed in southeastern Tibet and southwest Australia are genetically related and constitute the erosional and/or deformational remnants of a large igneous province, which we call the Comei-Bunbury LIP, emplaced above a mantle plume in eastern Gondwana ca. 132 Ma ago. Its possible link with the Kerguelen mantle plume and implications for the breakup of eastern Gondwana and the development of the Weissert oceanic anoxic event are also explored.

BACKGROUND AND SAMPLES

The Early Cretaceous igneous rocks exposed in southeastern Tibet have been documented for years (Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Xizang Autonomous Region, 1993) as an important component within the eastern Tethyan Himalayan sequence located between the Yarlung Zangbo suture to the north and the Greater Himalayas to the south (Fig. 1A). However, no good quality data on these rocks have been available until recently (Zhu et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2006); these data formed a basis for subsequent investigations (Zhu et al., 2007, 2008a) that identified plume signatures in local areas (e.g., Rimowa village and Cona areas; Fig. 1B) of southern Tibet. The new data obtained in this study together with field observations and consideration in a global context further suggest that the rocks in southeastern Tibet and the Bunbury Basalt in southwestern Australia are temporally related and constitute the erosional and/or deformational remnants of a large igneous province, which we call the Comei-Bunbury LIP. Like other pre-Cenozoic LIPs that have lost most of their volcanic components owing to tectonic deformation or erosion (Ernst, 2007; Bryan and Ernst, 2008), the remnant Comei LIP in southeastern Tibet is dominated by dismembered mafic lava flows, sills, and dikes, with subordinate ultramafic and silicic rocks of Early Cretaceous age belonging to Sangxiu and Lakang Formations (Wan et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2008a) (Fig. 1B). In this study, we collected igneous rock samples along three north-south transects from 28°N to 29°N and 90°30′E to 92°E in the Comei-Bunbury LIP (Fig. 1B). Of the 70 samples with geochemical data, 11 samples that include 5 diabasic dikes, 4 gabbros, a pyroxenite, and a dacite were successfully extracted for zircons for SHRIMP and LA-ICP–MS U-Pb dating. Sample details and zircon U-Pb age data are summarized in GSA Data Repository Table DR1. Actual analyses and data reduction procedures are given in Tables DR2 and DR3. Zircons from mafic and ultramafic samples show similar crystal forms with no resorption or inherited cores (Figs. DR1 and DR2) and exhibit low to very high uranium (16–11,853 ppm) and thorium (24–22,230 ppm) contents, yielding Th/U ratios from 0.77 to 5.24 (Tables DR2 and DR3). Zircons from a dacite sample exhibit different crystal forms, including long columnar and inherited cores (Fig. DR2e).
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They exhibit variable uranium (51–373 ppm) and thorium (64–370 ppm) contents, yielding Th/U ratios from 0.21 to 1.34 (Table DR3). All these features are consistent with the zircons being magmatic in origin (Hoskin and Black, 2000). Thus, the interpretation of the zircon U-Pb isotopic data (see following) is straightforward, and the obtained $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U ages are interpreted as dating the time of crystallization of the zircons, and thus the time of emplacement of the host rocks.

Uncertainties on individual analyses are reported at the 1σ level; mean ages for pooled $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U results are reported at the 2σ level to indicate the host rock crystallization ages (Tables DR1–DR3; Figs. DR3 and DR4).

**ZIRCON U–Pb AGES OF THE REMNANT COMEI LIP**

**Volcanic Remnants**

The remnant volcanic rocks are interbedded with the Early Cretaceous clastic sedimentary rocks in Sangxiu and Lakang Formations (Wan et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2008a), which are distributed in the northern and southern parts of the remnant Comei LIP (Fig. 1B). The volcanic rocks are bimodal, mostly basaltic with some silicic varieties (Zhu et al., 2007). The basalts are generally massive; however, lavas with pillowled structure are also observed. The basalts vary in thickness from tens of meters to ~600 m (Zhu et al., 2008a). The silicic rocks form a 130-m-thick succession of rhyodacite and rhyolite and show columnar jointing. Two silicic samples from Rimowa village give a SHRIMP zircon U-Pb age of 133 ± 3 Ma old (Zhu et al., 2005) and a LA-ICP–MS U-Pb age of 131 ± 5 Ma old (Fig. 1B).

**Mafic Intrusions**

Voluminous mafic intrusions (include diabasic sills, dikes, and gabbros) of the same or similar ages intruded both the variably deformed Early–Late Triassic strata and also the Himalayan tectonic zone. In the western Comei LIP, the Late Triassic strata are intruded by diabasic dikes, two of which, from the vicinity of Baidi village (Fig. 1B), give SHRIMP zircon U-Pb ages of 134.1 ± 2.0 Ma old and 133.4 ± 1.6 Ma old (Jiang et al., 2006). To the south, near Dalong village (Fig. 1B), diabasic dikes that intruded the Early to Middle Triassic strata give a SHRIMP U-Pb age of 129.5 ± 1.3 Ma old and a LA-ICP–MS U-Pb age of 133 ± 2 Ma old. In the central Comei LIP north of Dongjia village (Fig. 1B), gabbros emplaced within the Middle Jurassic strata give a SHRIMP U-Pb age of 129.7 ± 1.4 Ma old and a LA-ICP–MS U-Pb age of 132 ± 3 Ma old. To the south of Dongjia village, a diabasic dike gives a LA-ICP–MS U-Pb age of 132 ± 2 Ma old. To the north of Comei County in the central Comei LIP, the Early–Middle Jurassic strata were intruded by gabbros (Fig. 1B), one of which gives a LA-ICP–MS U-Pb age of 131 ± 1 Ma old. Farther north, a diabase dike yields a SHRIMP U-Pb age of 130.2 ± 2.0 Ma old. To the south of Chigu Tso, a gabbro that intruded the Early Jurassic strata gives a LA-ICP–MS U-Pb age of 132 ± 1 Ma old farther northeast of Chigu Tso (Fig. 1B). At the Qonggyai reservoir of the northern Comei LIP, a gabbro dike emplaced within the strongly deformed Late Triassic strata gives a SHRIMP U-Pb age of 132.1 ± 1.0 Ma old. To the south of Kada village in the southeastern Comei LIP (Fig. 1B), a gabbroic intrusion gives a SHRIMP U-Pb age of 131.1 ± 6.1 Ma old (Zhu et al., 2008a).

**Ultramafic Rocks**

Ultramafic rocks include layered pyroxenites and picrite porphyrites that intruded the Jurassic sedimentary strata in the central Comei LIP and the Lakang Formation sedimentary rocks in the southeastern Comei LIP (Fig. 1B). A pyroxenite sample in southwest Chigu Tso gives a SHRIMP zircon U-Pb age of 130 ± 2.0 Ma old.

In summary, we have obtained 11 new age determinations on rocks of the remnant Comei LIP using the SHRIMP and LA-ICP–MS zircon U-Pb methods (Tables DR1–DR3; Figs. DR3 and DR4). These new age dates, in combination with four published age data, agree within analytical uncertainty and give a weighted mean of 131.5 ± 0.8 Ma old (Fig. 2).
These 15 age dates are from samples distributed over a region as much as 270 km long and 150 km wide with an areal extent of ~40,000 km² in southeastern Tibet. The outer boundary of this area is marked by the diabasic dikes in western Yamzho Yum Tso, the gabbros in Kada village, and the gabbroic dikes to the south of Qonggyai County (dashed ellipse in Fig. 1B). Note that the diabases located farther west in the Gyangze and Kangmar areas (outside the ellipse; Fig. 1B) are similar and are also likely part of the Comei LIP, although this remains to be confirmed by dating.

**DISCUSSION**

**Spatial Extent of the Comei LIP and Associated Magmatism**

The Sangxiu Formation basalts exposed in Rimowa village are geochemically similar to the high-Ti mafic rocks widely distributed in Cona area (Zhu et al., 2007, 2008a). This geochemical similarity is also shared by high-Ti group rocks elsewhere in southeastern Tibet (Zhu et al., 2008b). While most outcrops of the remnant Comei LIP (Fig. 1B) are undated, the field relationships and geochemical similarities of all these igneous rocks suggest that they are coeval and cogenetic. The Tethyan Himalaya is a tectonically active terrain in response to the India-Asia collision and continued convergence (Hodges, 2000). Therefore, significant tectonic shortening accompanied by deep erosion has occurred since the emplacement of the LIP. This explains why the dominant rock assemblages here are deep-level intrusives rather than basaltic flows. For all these reasons, we can infer with confidence that the original Comei LIP must have occupied a substantially larger area (>40,000 km²) during their emplacement, probably exceeding the minimum level of 100,000 km² for strict classification as a LIP (Coffin and Eldholm, 1994; Bryan and Ernst, 2008).

The remnant Comei LIP was paleogeographically located in northeastern Greater India, positioned adjacent to the present-day southwestern margin of Australia in widely cited reconstructions of ca. 132 Ma ago eastern Gondwana (Fig. 3) (cf., Schettino and Scotese, 2001; Coffin et al., 2002). The Bunbury Basalts in southwestern Australia were emplaced ca. 132 Ma ago (Frey et al., 1996; Coffin et al., 2002), coeval with the extensive magmatism documented in the remnant Comei LIP (Fig. 2). Interpreted Lower Cretaceous volcanic rocks capping the Naturaliste Plateau, off the southwestern tip of Australia (Fig. 3), are inferred to be correlated with the Bunbury Basalts (Coleman et al., 1982; Coffin et al., 2002). Such geographical and age distributions of the magmatism of ca. 132 Ma ago allow us to link the Comei LIP and the Bunbury Basalts (and/or the Naturaliste Plateau volcanic rocks) into a single Comei-Bunbury LIP (Fig. 3).

**Duration of the Comei-Bunbury LIP Magmatism**

Although precise age data are unavailable for basalts in the Sangxiu and Lukang Formations, the basalt in the Sangxiu Formation is inferred to be only slightly older than the ~131–133 Ma old dacite. This is because the sedimentary unit consisting of coarse and fine clastic rocks between the underlying basalt and the overlying dacite is very minor and is only ~70 m thick where exposed in Rimowa village (Fig. 1B; Zhu et al., 2007), and could have been formed within a short period (e.g., 11–3 Ma) in a continental rift setting (Zhu et al., 2007). Therefore, given the analytical uncertainties, the zircon U-Pb age of dacite (~131–133 Ma old) can be used to represent the emplacement age of the basalt of the Sangxiu Formation. The 15 zircon U-Pb age dates (including 11 new ages reported in this study and 4 ages recently published) define a short magmatic duration of ~4 m.y. (i.e., between 134 and 130 Ma ago) covering an areal extent >~40,000 km² in southeastern Tibet (Fig. 1B), which, in combination with the 132 Ma old Bunbury Basalts in southwestern Australia, indicate that a large portion of the total igneous volume in the Comei-Bunbury LIP was probably emplaced within a short duration (<5 Ma). Although the details remain unclear, development of the Comei-Bunbury LIP probably was controlled by an interaction between a mantle plume and eastern Gondwana lithosphere (Frey et al., 1996; Zhu et al., 2007, 2008a).

**Links to the Early Kerguelen Plume Activity**

The possible relationship between the Rajmahal Traps and Kerguelen plume volcanism is less contended, but whether the Bunbury Basalt is genetically related to the Kerguelen plume is controversial largely because of the small volume of the Bunbury Basalt and its older age; ~10–20 Ma older than the oldest volcanism on the Kerguelen Plateau (Ingle et al., 2004). Zircon U-Pb dates reported in this study indicate that the extensive magmatism in the remnant Comei LIP was synchronous with the Bunbury Basalts in southwestern Australia, both predating any rocks sampled from the Rajmahal Traps and the Kerguelen Plateau (Coffin et al., 2002). Early studies suggested that ca. 130 Ma ago the Kerguelen plume was beneath the triple junction of Australia, Antarctica, and Greater India (Davies et al., 1989). New paleomagnetic results indicate that the Kerguelen plume has moved southward by 3°–10° since ca. 120 Ma ago (Antretter et al., 2002). The estimated distance of ~800–1200 km between the Comei LIP and the Rajmahal Traps province during Early Cretaceous time suggests that the Comei-Bunbury LIP may have been influenced by the Kerguelen plume activity at the time. If common source components for the Comei-Bunbury LIP magmas and Kerguelen plume lavas are assumed (Frey et al., 1996; Ingle et al., 2004; Zhu et al., 2007, 2008a, 2008b), the plate reconstruction indicates that the Comei-Bunbury LIP may have been genetically associated with the initial Kerguelen plume, which was already active before 130 Ma ago.

**Implications for the Breakup of Eastern Gondwana and the Oceanic Anoxic Event**

The small volume of the known magmatism ca. 132 Ma ago potentially related to the Kerguelen plume led some investigators (Frey et al., 1996; Coffin et al., 2002) to suggest that this stage of plume activity may not have played a role in the breakup of eastern Gondwana. However, the recognition of the Comei-Bunbury LIP along with older volcanic rocks (older than 120 Ma) that are expected to exist (to be tested further via drilling into the Kerguelen Plateau; Duncan, 2002; Kieffer et al., 2002), can in fact be reconstructed to the single Kerguelen plume with an initial pulse ca. 132 Ma ago. The extensive magmatism of the Comei-Bunbury LIP (ca. 132 Ma ago) coincides broadly with the oldest magnetic anomaly (ca. 130.9 Ma ago) identified between northeastern Greater India and southwestern Australia (Heine and Müller, 2005). These tectonomagmatic events suggest that the Kerguelen plume activities reflected by the Comei-Bunbury LIP could have played a key role in the breakup of eastern Gondwana.

Previous studies documented a global perturbation of marine ecosystems, including biotic...
changes in nannofossils and radiolaria and positive excursions of carbon isotope of marine carbonates in the Valanginian age (cf. Lini et al., 1992; Erba et al., 2004; Weissert and Erba, 2004). Such a perturbation has been assumed to represent the onset of the Cretaceous greenhouse Earth and was generally correlated with the magmatic activity of the Paraná-Etendeka continental flood-basalt province (132 Ma ago; Fig. 3) (see Lini et al., 1992). Subsequent works referred to this perturbation ca. 132 Ma ago as the Weisert anoxic event (OAE) (Erba et al., 2004).

The extensive magmatism of the Comei-Bunbury LIP identified by this study (~132 Ma old) is coeval with the Weisert OAE. Although the environmental catastrophes of the Comei-Bunbury LIP require further exploration, the magmatism associated with this newly identified LIP and subsequent tectonic activity related to the breakup of eastern Gondwana could also have contributed hydrothermal bioluminating elements toward the development of the Weisert OAE.

CONCLUSIONS
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